

|   |                                |                         |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>         | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |
|   | 10/810,196                     | HOLSCHER ET AL.         |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>SHAWN X. GU | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2189 |

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SHAWN X. GU [PTO]. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Zarbis [Reg.No.46,120]. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2011

**Time:** 1:54PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,9,12,18,19,21,23 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The parties agreed to examiner proposed amendment(s) to the discussed claim(s) to place the application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's Amendment for detail).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SHAWN X GU/  
 Primary Examiner, AU2189

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)